

1. What's the problem with putting millions of years into the Bible?

Ten results from a belief in “millions of years” :

The great majority of Christians who accept old earth ages have never given much thought to what this belief implies. To cause all of us to reflect upon this belief, I have compiled the following (partial) list of the ramifications of such compromise. For a person to accept the old earth philosophies they:

1] reject Col 2:8 (avoid man's vain philosophies)

- a) trust in the slow, uniform accumulation of the fossil bearing strata layers (Uniformity is prophesied in 2 Peter 3:3-6).
- b) trust that death existed prior to man

2] reject that “In the beginning God created” (Genesis 1:1)

- a) reject the 6 day creation given to us by Moses in Genesis
- b) reject Exodus 20:11 (For in six days God made the heavens, earth, seas...)

3] reject Moses' account of Noah's Ark

- a) reject Jesus' claim that Noah's Ark is a historical fact (Matthew 24:38)

4] reject Moses' account of the Global flood (which formed the fossil filled strata)

- a) reject Jesus' claim that the global flood is a historical fact (Matthew 24:38-39)
- b) reject that man's sin brought death into God's perfect creation (Romans 5:12)
- c) reject that at the end of day 6 God called His creation very good (Genesis 1:31)
- d) reject that death is an enemy to God's creation (1 Corinthians 15:26)
- e) reject God's rainbow covenant (Genesis 9:11-13)

5] reject the genealogies given to us by Moses, I Chronicles & Luke

- a) reject Jesus' claim that man was made in the beginning (Mark 10:6)
- b) reject 1 Timothy 1:4 (don't give heed to endless genealogies)

6] reject Jesus' words about the importance of believing Moses (John 5:46-47)

- a) reject Jesus' sovereignty (Jesus refers to Genesis 25 times)

7] reject that all Scripture was given by the inspiration of God

- a) reject the Godly inspiration of every writer of the New Testament as each refers to Genesis (Genesis is referred to 200+ times in the New Testament)
- b) reject the Godly inspiration of all Old Testament authors who refer to Genesis

8] reject Jesus' words about us living by every Word of God

- a) reject Jesus' words on telling good from bad by the fruits (billions have rejected Jesus due to their belief in long ages)

9] reject I Thessalonians 5:21, Prove all things hold fast that which is good

- a) reject the overwhelming scientific information supporting the recent creation
- b) reject the overwhelming scientific data supporting our Intelligent Biblical Designer

10] reject the foundations of Christianity (Psalm 11)

God created us in His image. Then man's original sin separated us from God and allowed death to enter God's perfect creation. This required Jesus' death on the cross so, by accepting His sacrifice, we can be reunited with God).

And this is just the start! The Bible tells us that if we BELIEVE in Him (the Jesus of Scripture) and submit our lives to Him as our Lord and Savior, through grace by faith we will spend eternity with Him in heaven. If “millions of years” have you doubting the plain reading of the Bible, please see the CESM seminars or view the CESM DVDs.

2. What evidence supports a global flood?

Some of the facts which prove that the earth endured a worldwide flood include the sedimentary strata layers which comprise the crust of the earth. These layers found in a mixed order of deposition around the globe were laid down by water and have marine fossils throughout. Animals and plants must be buried quickly to become fossils, further proof of the global deluge. Polystrata fossils traverse multiple strata layers proving their rapid formation. Geologic compression events, where complete mountain ranges are folded in 150 degree bends without cracking the rock layers, are proof of a massive tectonic event taking place while the layers were still moist muds. Carbon-14 and amino acids, which should be gone after just a few thousand years have been found in ALL fossil bearing layers attesting to their recent formation. The evidence of the global judgement of sin by a worldwide flood is overwhelming. Read Romans 1:20. I suggest our seminar on the Global Flood and the Old Earth Dating Methods for anyone wishing to learn more.

3. Where did Cain's wife come from?

According to Genesis 3:20 Eve is the mother of all living people and Cain was her first son. (Gen.4:1) Genesis 5:4 tells us that Adam and Eve lived for 800 years after the birth of Seth, when they were 130 years old, and they bore other children. Cain's wife would have been from these descendants. She was possibly a sister or a niece. Keep in mind that "in the beginning" close relatives were a person's only choice. With the recently created gene pool, they didn't have the mutational defects built up in their DNA which cause such severe results in offspring of close relatives today. It wasn't until the days of Moses, Lev. 18-20, that marriages between close relatives were forbidden.

4. When did Satan fall?

At the end of the six day creation, God called His creation, "very good". Satan was still an angel at this time. Corinthians tells us that death is an enemy to God's creation. The creation was certainly not full of death at the end of the sixth day and Romans tells us that death came due to man's original sin. This sin separated us from God and required a Redeemer to reunite us with God. That Redeemer is the Lord Jesus Christ. Death before sin would eliminate any need for Jesus. Ezekiel 28:13-15 tells us that Satan walked in the garden, created on day six, till iniquity was found in him. This was sometime between day seven and one hundred years later. We can surmise this due to Adam being booted from the garden prior to the births of Cain and Able, and the birth of Seth when Adam was 130 years old. This destroys the Gap Theory, Day Age Theories, Progressive Creation, pre-Adamite men, death before sin, etc.

5. Are you concerned that scientists will one day find proof of our origins?

They've already found volumes of proofs but they have made accepting their findings an impossibility by ruling out the correct cause of our origins before they started their testing. Take a group of mathematicians, for instance, who have ruled out the number 2. No matter what, 2 can not be considered as a possible answer. Next, they set out to answer the equation $1 + 1 = ?$ They've made it impossible to come to the correct conclusion, unless they are willing to admit their initial assumption was wrong. Likewise, evolutionary biased "science" rules out Creation as even a consideration. No matter how many principles of science evolution violates, no matter how much evidence screams intelligent design or global flood, etc, special creation is not allowed to be considered. They've ruled out the correct answer based on their religious bias and therefore, unless they accept that their religious assumptions are wrong, will never have the true answer to our origins.

6. Does it really matter whether a person believes in a young creation or an old one?

Whether the earth is young or old, whether God used creation or evolution, whether God created in six days or over billions of years or whether death existed prior to man's sin are not the most important questions. But the way you answer these questions, will answer these two primary questions for you. The two key questions are:

- 1) Is the Bible the infallible, inspired Word of God?
- 2) Is Genesis (the foundation for the Lord Jesus Christ as God, Creator and Savior) true or not?

In Genesis, Moses wrote of a six day Creation just a few thousand years ago and of man's original sin bringing in death to God's perfect creation, separating us from God. Moses wrote of the eventual need of a Redeemer to permanently reunite us with God. It is of little wonder that Jesus stated in John 5:46-47 that if you don't believe Moses you will have difficulty believing in Jesus.

Also, Jesus told us that we can tell good from bad by the fruits. Belief in old ages make original sin, the global flood, Noah's ark and the genealogies out to be myths. This in turn makes liars out of Jesus and every writer of the New Testament, as well as Old Testament authors who mention these events. In other words, old age beliefs undermine all of Scripture! Talk about wicked fruit!! Belief in old ages has resulted in billions of people rejecting Jesus Christ as their Lord and Savior. This should be all that needs to be said but I will go further.

Belief in either a young earth or an old earth comes down to your belief in how the earth's strata layers accumulated. The radioisotope dating methods are not only non-scientific (they are based on multiple wild guesses), but they are demonstrably erroneous!! Any knowledgeable scientist will attest to this proven fact. We either believe in the global flood which laid down the layers recently or we believe in the slow, gradual, uniformitarianistic accumulation of the layers over long ages. If slow accumulation of strata were true, by deduction we should expect NOT to find: fossils (which rot away quickly); Poly Strata fossils (which traverse multiple layers); helium in lower layers; index fossils above their extinction layers, fossils below their "evolutionary" layer; older layers above younger layers; branching coal seams; oil deposits; natural gas deposits; fossils with amino acids or red blood cells; human bones and artifacts below "million year old" strata; Carbon 14 in lower strata; etc. But this is EXACTLY what is found. And each screams out "RECENT, GLOBAL FLOOD" !! Colossians 2:8 tells us to beware of man's philosophies (old age beliefs for example) which are after this world (radioisotope dating, the geologic column) and not after Christ Jesus (Creator).

The old ages which we are given come from the man-made geologic column. This was popularized in the early 1800's by Charles Lyle who said he wanted to undermine people's faith in the Bible. This column has never been found in the order predicted, with the corresponding index fossils by which they "date" things. This column is a 100% fairy tale! Yet this is where the old agers get their dates!! No wonder the Bible warns us not to be tossed to and fro with every new idea (Ephesians 4:14).

Genesis 1:31 tells us that God called His Creation "very good" when He completed it. Any old age belief puts death and suffering on earth for long ages before man came about. Yet 1 Corinthians 15:26 tells us that death is an enemy to God's Creation. Would God call His creation "very good" if it were full of death which is described as an enemy to God's creation in 1 Corinthians? Or, is the Word of God only speaking about human death? The Bible tells us that man's sin brought on the need of a blood offering, to temporarily cover our sin. The first death in the world was when God killed an animal (lamb?) to cover Adam and Eve's original sin. How could this temporarily cover man's sin if animals had been dying for long ages? There would be nothing special about one more dying.

1 John 3:8 tells us that, "He that committeth sin is of the devil; for the devil sinneth from the beginning..." Jesus said that man was made at the beginning (Matthew 19:4, Mark 10:6). In and from the "beginning", not billions of years later. Old ages put man at the end.

We are told by the Word of God that man's original sin separated us from God, requiring Jesus' eventual death on the cross. 1 John 3:8 goes on that, "...For this purpose the Son of God was manifested, that He might destroy the

works of the devil.” His blood covered our sin permanently (should we choose to accept His sacrifice). Any old age belief puts death before man’s sin, thus ruling out the biblical reason for Jesus’ death on the cross.

1 Timothy 1:4 warns us "not to give heed to fables and endless genealogies". That means “old ages”! 2 Timothy 3:5 tells us that "mankind will have a form of godliness but deny the power thereof." Denying that God can create by divine intervention as opposed to only natural processes is denying the power of God. The Bible warns us, “from such turn away”.

The Bible says that the Word became flesh. Jesus is the Living Word. He also called Himself the Bread of Life. But when tempted by Satan, Jesus said that man does not live by bread alone but by every Word of God. We live by Jesus the Bread and by believing in Jesus the Word. John 3:16 says that whosoever believeth in him should not perish, but have everlasting life. But Jesus tells us that most will take the broad path rather than trusting in Him and His Word. With real science on the side of a young earth creation, why choose man’s fallible theories? My choice is to trust the Bread of life and the inspired Word of God, Word for Word and cover to cover. See CESM DVD's 2 and 3 or live presentation Seminars 2, 4 and 5 or our Service Message "Global Flood Theory".

7. Why do Creationists fight science?

Creationists do not fight science. Science is defined as knowledge derived from the observation, study and testing of evidence. Most branches of modern science were begun by Creation believing scientists. Real science is a Christian’s best friend because observable, testable facts support Creation and destroy evolution. Darwinian Macro-evolution is unobservable and non-testable so it is not science but rather a religious philosophy which rids the world of its Creator. Creationists are fighting to get the false science of evolution exposed for the religious belief that it is and return “science” to the study of observable evidence. For examples of false science in the textbooks, we suggest viewing CESM DVD 1 or seeing live Seminars 1 and 2.

8. Doesn’t the Geologic Time Scale prove that evolution took place over billions of years?

The Geologic Time Scale, or column, was popularized by Charles Lyell in his 1830’s book “Principles of Geology”. He stated that his goal was to undermine people’s faith in the Bible. It is a nifty drawing of sediment layers in order of their supposed age with corresponding “Index” fossils which have supposedly been extinct since that layer was laid down “millions of years ago”. This is where evolutionists select their old dates but the problems are astronomical. For one, they use the rock to date the fossil and the fossil to date the rock-complete circular reasoning. Next, their “extinct” index fossils keep showing up alive today! Most are marine creatures and we’ve only explored about 10% of the oceans. The index fossils have been showing up alive by the dozens. But most importantly, the order of strata layers, with their corresponding index fossils, by which evolutionists date things, has never been found in the order presented by this fictitious column of sediments.

In reality, the Geologic Time Scale does not exist! Like other “proofs’ for evolution, the Geologic Column is non-observable and thus non-scientific. Yet, unbelievably, it has caused billions of people to reject the Biblical Creation and place their faith in evolutionism or old age philosophies. For more information on the Geologic Column and examples of living “index fossils”, please see CESM DVD 2 or live Seminar 2 or Service Message "Global Flood Theory".

9. If God created everything, doesn’t the evil we see in this world prove that God is evil?

No, it doesn't. Keep in mind that God created a perfect universe, reflecting His infinitely good and perfect nature. It was sin which brought evil into His perfect creation. The results we see reflect the infinitely evil nature of sin.

When we leave God out of our lives by choosing to sin, we open the door for evil to fill the void. Perhaps a fair definition of evil is an absence of God in a given situation. But God even turns evil to good for those of us who love and trust Him. Both moral evil (abortion, sexual immorality, stealing, etc.), which results from our individual

choice to sin, and natural evil (aging, sickness, death, etc.) are allowed by God in His supreme wisdom and mercy to call us back to Him. C.S. Lewis wrote, "Pain is God's megaphone to a deaf world."

The sharp contrast between good and evil deepens the revelation of our loving and merciful God in His creation and a wise person will glorify Him because of this.

10. Doesn't Radiometric Dating prove that the earth is billions of years old?

Not at all!! The only thing that these dating methods have proven is that they (Carbon, Ar-K, Isochron, etc.) are completely unreliable. They are also non-scientific. Science is defined as knowledge derived from the observation, testing and study of evidence. These dating methods make several non-observable and non-repeatable wild guesses (assumptions) in order to arrive at "old dates".

Take Ar-K dating as an example. Potassium 40 decays into argon 40. In Ar-K dating, scientists can accurately measure the amounts of these elements in a rock. By assuming the rate of decay of Potassium to Argon (in Ar-K dating) has always been the same, an estimate is made as to how long it took to form the amount of argon present. It's assumed that no argon was present when the rock being dated first formed. However, no one was there to observe how much argon was in the rock when it was made. Thus the whole process is nothing more than a guessing game. There are from 11 to 23 such wild guesses associated with the radiometric dating methods. Other assumptions, such as that the rock was never contaminated with argon from another source, equally invalidates the dates given.

For example, diamonds were dated at 6 billion years old. When it was pointed out that evolutionists claim that earth is only 4.6 billion years old, "scientist" said, "There must have been argon present when the rocks formed which threw off the dates." No kidding. Typically a wide range of ages are given by these methods with the date selected being the one which matches the Geologic Column. (see questions about this Column).

For more information and examples regarding the "old age" dating methods please review CESM DVD 2 or 3 and live Seminars 2,4 and 5.

11. As long as I believe in the Bible, why should I care about the creation-evolution issue?

First, I have great respect for anyone who, despite the massive propaganda assault by evolutionists, can still see through to the truth of God's un-compromised word. Here are 2 reasons why you should care.

- 1) The message of salvation is that man's original sin let death enter God's perfect Creation. This original sin separated us from God, eventually leading to Jesus' sacrifice on the cross so we could be reunited with God, should we accept the Biblical Jesus as our Lord and Savior. Evolution says that man came about by billions of years of death and suffering. Thusly man's sin did not bring in death or separate us from God. Henceforth there is no need for Jesus or His sacrifice on the cross. This is what both evolution and old ages are really about.
- 2) Studies are showing that as many as 75% of kids raised in Christian homes leave their faith behind before they graduate college and that 40% of churchgoers believe in evolution or old ages, due to the teaching of evolution. The religious philosophy of evolutionism has spread like a deadly cancer into every aspect of our society and world, causing not millions, but billions of people to reject Jesus, the Creator, being their Lord and Savior. Talk about evil fruit. If you have any family, friends, neighbors or co-workers, you should care. For further information we suggest viewing DVD 1 or seeing life Seminar 7 "The Evil Fruits of Evolution".

12. If the earth is only a few thousand years old, why can we see light from stars that are billions of light years away?

Let me point out a few things to be considered:

God made a mature creation including stars and starlight (Isaiah 40:22, 42:5, 45:12 and Jeremiah 10:12).

There is no way to accurately measure how far away stars are. They are a long way away but no one can say how

long it takes light to get here when we don't know how far away the stars are.

The speed of light can vary. The New York Times reported that two independent science teams at Harvard report slowing light to a dead stop and that the NEC Research Institute at Princeton increased light pulses to 300 times its current speed. If mankind can speed up light, the starlight question becomes a non-issue since God could certainly do so.

If stars evolve, star births should exceed star deaths. Although many star deaths have been observed, never has a star birth been seen.

Various theories include looking at red shifts, the Doppler effect, etc. There are several viable theories on why we can see starlight from distant sources. Personally, I believe that scripture answers the questions. Together with the variability in the speed of light, the starlight issue becomes a non-issue for a young creation. An emerging theory on starlight comes from Dr. Russell Humphries of the Institute for Creation Research (ICR). I would suggest you review his theory should you want to see an interesting and technical explanation that fits with known scientific facts.

13. How do coal beds fit with a young earth view?

Coal is usually found in layers which requires the practice of strip mining for its removal. Evolutionists claim that coal formed in swamps during the Carboniferous period about 250 million years ago. However, coal layers at various depths, with strata layers between, often have coal seams which connect the upper layer to the lower. Evolutionists can't explain this.

Dr. Steven Austin of ICR postulated a theory which fits the evidence. During a global flood, large mats of vegetation floated on the surface, dropping the layers of plant debris which were quickly buried by flood-deposited sediments. The connecting coal seam formed at the point where the mat course changed due to tides, winds, etc.

In addition, Carbon 14 (which is measured in carbon dating) should decay away in less than 50,000 to 200,000 years. Yet coal, which is said to be 5,000 times this old, has never been found without C-14 in it. The evidence indicates that coal was formed in a recent worldwide flood. For more on this and related subjects, please see CESM DVD 2 and 3, life Seminars 2, 4 and 6 or Service Message "Global Flood Theory".

14. How do dinosaurs fit into a young earth perspective?

Very well! Keep in mind that the word "dinosaur" wasn't invented until 1841. Prior to that they were called serpents or dragons. Besides the Bible, there are thousands of dragon stories in the history books going back from 1,000 to 3,000 years.

Along with historical accounts we have cave drawings of various dinosaurs. How did ancient man know what they looked like if they had been extinct for "millions of years"?

Several non-fossilized dinosaur bones have been found. A Montana State team found a T-Rex bone that still had red blood cells in it. Scientists have said that these cells couldn't last 10,000 years under any circumstances! For more on dinosaurs and a young world, see CESM DVD 2 or our "Pre Flood World, Noah' Ark" Service Message.

15. How did Noah fit millions of animals into the Ark?

There were not millions of animals, but only a few thousand. Genesis 7:22 indicates that Noah only had to bring land animals that breathed through nostrils. This eliminates water living mammals, fish, insects, etc. He also only brought a pair of each kind of animal. For instance a pair of dogs would have sufficed to repopulate all 300 or so types of dogs found today as they "bring forth after their kind" (Genesis 7:14-15). See Video 4.

16. Where did the flood water come from and go to?

According to the Bible (Genesis 7:11), most of the water came from under the surface of the earth and “the windows of heaven” rained down to add to the deluge. Toward the end of the flood the unstable plates, over the now empty fountains, collapsed. This caused today’s ocean basins to form (Psalm 104:8) and the waters to flow into these basins (Genesis 8:2-3). For a global flood theory that answers dozens of questions which evolutionists can’t viably explain, please see CESM DVD 3, live Seminar 4 and our Service Message on "The Global Flood Theory".

17. How did Noah collect all those animals?

Noah didn’t have to collect any animals. God had the animals come to him. (God probably wanted Noah and his family to keep working on the ark.) See Genesis 6:20. See CESM DVD 2, live Seminar 4 or our Service Message on Noah's Ark.

18. What evidences support a young earth or universe view?

Actually the vast majority of ways to date things reflect a young world view. Helium escapes rocks quickly, geologically speaking. Yet rocks in the deepest strata layers still have lots of helium in them. Helium is being produced by the second via radiometric decay, and enters the earth’s atmosphere. There is no known way for appreciable amounts of helium to escape the atmosphere. Yet the amount of helium in earth’s atmosphere can only account for about one-half million years. (If God made the atmosphere with zero helium to start).

Many planets are still hot although losing heat faster than they receive heat. They can’t be old. The sun is shrinking. This causes a host of problems for old age believers. One is that as the sun shrinks, its gravity weakens. Earth’s orbit around the sun is held in place by the sun’s gravitational pull. If we were a fraction closer to, or further from, the sun, life could not exist on earth. The solar system can’t be old.

The earth’s magnetic field has weakened by 6% over the past 150 years. At this rate, extrapolating backwards, the field strength would have been that of a magnetic star about 10,000 to 12,000 years ago. The oceans are 3.6% salt and the level of salinity is increasing. At the present rate, the water would have gone from fresh to 3.6% salt in about 5,000 years.

There are about 1,200 minerals on earth. Each can be measured as to amounts existing in and entering into the seas. These all point to a young ocean. Sediments on the sea floors accumulate via continental erosion. Studies of the amounts indicate a youthful ocean. For more on the age of the world we suggest viewing CESM DVD 3 or live Seminar 2 and 3.

19. Did it rain before the flood?

My understanding, or interpretation, is that the pre-flood world had a great underground watering system (Genesis 2:6) and rain was not needed until after the flood (Genesis 2:5). But the Bible doesn’t specifically say. For scientifically based theories on the pre-flood world and the global flood, please CESM DVD 2 and 3, live Seminar 4 or Service Messages, including "The Global Flood Theory".

20. If we all descended from Adam and Eve, where did the different races come from?

The term race is an evolutionary term. The only race mentioned in the Bible is the human race (Malachi 2:10, Acts 17:26). We have no idea what color the skin of Adam and/or Eve was. However, if a white and black couple were to have a child, then that child’s offspring could be any white, brown or black shade found on earth. As far as physical traits are concerned, following the separation of people at the Tower of Babel, groups spread out and “inbred” within that group for a few thousand years. Mutations and adaptations in a particular group's gene pool

resulted in various traits associated with that particular group. We recommend that you schedule live Seminar 3 on “50 Facts Compared to the Biblical Accounts”, or CESM DVD 1 and 2.

21. Are insects becoming resistant to insecticides proof that they are evolving?

Although often cited as proof of evolution, there is no evolution there whatsoever.

Let's pretend that we started out with 1,000 cockroaches and sprayed them with an insecticide which kills 998 of them. Did the 2 survivors instantaneously evolve an immune system? Of course not! They already had the gene in their DNA gene pool which allowed them to survive the poison. The dead ones did not. They simply survived and since their offspring will likely inherit this immune gene, the new population will be immune to this particular pesticide. Whether the example is bugs becoming resistant to pesticides or rats becoming resistant to poisons, this is simply survival, not evolution. This is also proof of an Intelligent Designer. Bacteria becoming resistant to antibiotics is often used as proof for Neo-Darwinian evolution. That is beneficial mutations, aided by natural selection, taking over a gene pool. This is also pure deception. A rare mutation could cause over-production of a penicillin-destroying enzyme. While beneficial to the bacteria inside a body receiving penicillin, this is a non-beneficial mutation overall as the bacteria wastes its resources producing unneeded anti-penicillin enzymes. Thus, it is less likely to survive and is removed by natural selection.

22. Is a short hair dog producing a long hair dog proof of Darwinian evolution?

It proves that we need to clearly define the term evolution. From a biological standpoint, let's focus on micro evolution, macro evolution and genetic information. Micro is simply an adaptation (variation) within the same kind of plant or animal. The genetic information for the adaptation was already present in the existing gene pool. This is vital to understand.

You could take a male and female dog (mutts work the best due to their wide gene pool) and selectively breed dogs for 20 years. By the end, you would likely have 100 very different dogs, but they would still be dogs. Although there may be huge variations, dogs producing dogs are simply micro changes. Micro always ends up with the same kind that was started with. It is important to understand that micro adaptations result from the sorting or loss of pre-existing genetic information. This is a scientific principle known as Gene Depletion. Micro adaptations are a scientific fact which we should expect since ten times in Genesis we are told that plants or animals will “bring forth after their kind”. Micro is both Biblical and Scientific.

Macro evolution is Darwinian or Neo-Darwinian evolution, one kind changing into another kind. For example a dog producing a non-dog, like a cat or a mouse or a pumpkin. Never has an example of Darwinian-Macro evolution ever been observed. In fact, the DNA Code Barrier states that one kind can't produce a different kind. The genetic information for the change of one kind, let's say a dog, to become a different kind, let's say a rabbit, is not in the dog's gene pool and science knows of no way for nature to add appreciable amounts of new, beneficial, genetic data to a gene pool. Macro evolution is both unobserved and against true science. Yet macro is taught as fact in schools and micro adaptations are shown to convince kids that there is proof. This is of course pure fraud, yet has successfully fooled millions of people.

See CESM live Seminar 1 and 6 and CESM DVD 1.

23. If we were created, why have ape-men been found?

Never has an “ape-man” held up to true scientific scrutiny. They always turn out to be 100% human (Neanderthal man, Rhodesia man, Peking man, Homo Erectus, Cro-Magman, etc.), 100% ape (Ramapithecus, Lucy, Taung, Flat Faced man, the Australopithecines, etc.), or pure “mistakes” (Piltdown man, Java man, Homo Habilus, Orce man, Nebraska man, etc.). No doubt that some people lived in caves, but they were still 100% human.

For more on the missing links, please view CESM DVD 1 or see live Presentation 1 and 6.

24. Don't the trees of life found in science textbooks prove evolution happened?

The evolutionary trees of life are nothing more than an evolutionist's fairy tale. Each branch of these trees would require millions of transitional beings to be viable yet never has a single "missing link" of any kind ever been found that has held up to scientific scrutiny. There are no missing links among the living beings on earth or in the fossil record.

In fact, all the basic body types (Phyla) are found in the lowest strata which contains fossils with many types having disappeared via extinction over the years. So rather than branching out, the trees of life would be more accurate if they resembled a flag pole, without the flag. For more about this, as well as several other false evolutionary teachings, we recommend viewing CESM DVD 1 and live Seminar 1 and 6.

25. Aren't there contradictions in the Bible, like the different accounts of creation found in Genesis 1 and 2?

There are no contradictions in the Bible, just areas where fallible man has misinterpreted what has been given to us by God's Word. For instance, the apparent contradiction between Genesis' creation accounts.

In Genesis 1 we are told that trees, birds and animals are made prior to Adam and that the birds were created from water. Then in Genesis 2, we are told that trees, animals and birds (from dirt) were made after Adam. The contradiction disappears once you understand that the Genesis 2 account is likely discussing the creation of things in the Garden of Eden so Adam could name them and select a "help meet".

A resource which explains mankind's misinterpretations of the Bible is "The Errors in the King James Bible" by Dr. Peter S. Ruckman. Be forewarned that the writer's disdain for those who proclaim that there are errors in the Bible comes through loud and clear. However, he takes every supposed error or contradiction one at a time and shows that it is the proclaimers that are mistaken, not God's inspired Word.

See "50 Facts vs Biblical Accounts" on CESM DVD 2 or live CESM Seminar 3.

26. What do you think about the separation of church and state?

With the religious philosophy of evolutionism dominating our school systems, I don't see the separation, unless this refers to Christianity. But more importantly, nowhere in the U.S. Constitution is the phrase mentioned. It came from a letter written by Thomas Jefferson to a pastor. The context of that letter reads, "The First Amendment has erected a wall of separation between church and state. That wall is a one dimensional wall. It keeps government from running the church, but it makes sure that Christian principles will always stay in government." I believe that our nation has been grossly misled. For more information on what is or isn't legal in public schools, we suggest CESM live Seminar 8. For examples of misleading teachings in the public schools, we suggest CESM DVDs 1, 2 and 3 or live CESM Seminars 1,2,5 and 6.

27. Isn't it against the law to teach Creation in Public School?

NO!! The 1963 Supreme Court banned the use of the Bible to lead kids to Jesus. They did not throw the Bible out of school. The court has ruled repeatedly that, "Teachers already possess the flexibility to present a variety of theories about the origin of humankind..and are free to present any and all facets of this subject". (Edwards vs. Aguiliard 482 U.S. 96 pages 8-9, 1987)

28. What is the Gaia hypothesis?

Named after the Greek goddess of earth, this theory holds that the earth is a living organism. New Agers and radical environmentalists love their "Mother Earth".

